

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

<i>In re</i> Application of:	§	BEFORE THE EXAMINER:
<b>Dakka, et al.</b>	§	<b>Rebecca L. Anderson</b>
Serial Number: 09/719,375	§	Group Art Unit Number: 1626
	§	
Filed: March 8, 2002	§	Attorney Docket No: 1998M034
	§	Confirmation No.: 7003
For: Oxidation Process in the Presence	§	
of Carbon Dioxide	§	Date: January 23, 2009

Commissioner for Patents  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**AMENDMENT & RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT**

Dear Sir,

This reply is submitted in response to the Restriction Requirement dated January 12, 2009. Please reconsider the restriction requirement and application in light of the following amendments and remarks.

Applicant notes that there were two sets of claims in the USPTO File wrapper. One set has 25 claims and the other set had 21 claims. Since the Examiner has rejected 25 claims, Applicant assumes the claim set with 25 claims is the official set being examined and will use that set as the basis for the following amendments.

**Amendments to the Claims** begin on page 2 of this paper.

**Remarks** begin on page 9 of this paper.